

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/782,184	10/782,184 02/18/2004		Paul Ying-Fung Wu	X-1389-1P US	4548	
24309	7590	01/13/2005		EXAMINER		
XILINX,		ARTMENT	ABRAHAM, FETSUM			
2100 LOG		ARTMENT		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
SAN JOSI	E, CA 951	124	2826			
				DATE MAILED: 01/13/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A·H			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/782,184	WU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Fetsum Abraham	2826				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appreniod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this cold (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) 28 is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce			,			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the c	•	• •	•			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction			` .			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P10	O-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	24			
 Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau 	·	ed in this National 3	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of		d .	•			
l l o	·	u.				
Attachment(s)			•			
1) Notice of References Cited (RTQ-R92)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Rate (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite	450)			
3) Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO	-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/782,184

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 27 recites the limitation "conductive lid" in claim 23. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1,3,5-9,12,18-20,23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Nakajoh et al (6,762,796).

As for claims 1,3,6,8,12,18-20,23,24 the patent discloses a packaging structure and a method of forming it in figure 9 composed of a substrate (2), a lid (22) for integrated circuit provided by a recessed mid-portion to accommodate a room for a chip (1), a foot portion (23) adapted to be coupled to the substrate of the integrated circuit by bonding means (see column 13, 10-25), a plurality of recesses (27) formed on both lower edges of the foot section as well defined in the patent concerning the particular structure in the figure (see column 13, 30-65) rending the overall structure as one with beveled edges.

As for claim 5, the beveled edges have corners.

Application/Control Number: 10/78%,184

Art Unit: 2826

As for claims 7,9,19,23 the extreme bottom portions of the beveled-edged lid are composed of a planar surfaces and are exposed to the bonding agent that bonds the lid with the substrate.

As for claim 25, adhesive bonding is applied to the structure at the claimed location (see column 13, 20-23).

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2,4,10,11,15,16,27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the primary reference in view of Radu et al (6,573,590).

The primary reference discloses all subject matter except attaching the die to the lid by adhesive means. However, Radu et al shows the claimed physical configuration in the front page where the die (204) is adhered to the lid (206) by adhesive means (208). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the same method in the product of the primary reference, since it further increases the reliability of the IC integration in semiconductor packaging.

Claims 13,14,17,21,26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the primary reference.

Although the prior art omits to disclose a solder bond as the applied bonding means of the lid and the substrate, the method is notoriously known in the art of packaging. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the

Application/Control Number: 10/78 1,184 Page 4

Art Unit: 2826

method in the prior art since it provides a stable and reliable encapsulation of integrated circuits.

As for claim 21, etching and the rest of the methods in the claim are known methods of removing a portion of any semiconductor-oriented structure.

Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the primary reference in view of Fujimoto et al (6,693,748).

Although the prior art may have omits to mention all applicable methods of forming recesses, the secondary reference teaches that injecting molding was a method used to etch down recesses (14) on the packaging structure in the front page.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the method in the prior art structure since the process provides a reliable and dependable result.

Claim 28 has been allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fetsum Abraham whose telephone number is: 571-272-1911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 18:00:

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915.

ersum Abraham